**RESPONSE TO REVIEWER**

1. In the Results and Discussion Section values commented in the text are not in a line with those provided in the table II and should be corrected.

Required corrections were made in the text (p. 10 and p. 20)

1. The authors provided results of paired scores analysis in the table IV, however, they seem hesitant to discuss them. Since provided results have shown that students in E group achieved significantly higher paired scores than C group students (in both post test and delayed test), I consider that these results merit to take at least a little part of the discussion section and thus provide justification for claims and conclusion made in the final part of the manuscript. These results are main indicator of the efficiency of the applied instruction and should be briefly commented.

A paragraph which took into consideration the results provided in Table IV was added in discussion section (p. 12-13 and p.21).