Dear Editor,

We have carefully read comments and suggestion from reviewers and corrected our manuscript accordingly. Below in this letter we list all amendments made in the text.

**Reviewer A comments:**

1. **line 194, check whether the signal at 3.35 ppm of H-3’ or H-2’?**

*The signal at 3.35 ppm belongs to H-2’. This has been corrected.*

1. **line 127, 143 and 191, in IR spectrum instead of points, need a comma.**

*All IR spectra were corrected.*

**3. line 258 and 279, in the name of the journal missing points.**

*Points in abbreviation of journal names were added.*

**Reviewer B comments**

**1.** **Page 4, Fig. 1. On H NMR spectra crossover lines (OMe and Me) should be deleted. Those signals belong to the compound, not to the impurities, and should stay.**

*We corrected the figure of NMR spectra according to the reviewer’s suggestions.*

**2. Page 4, lines 68 74. Presentation of NOESY interactions should be presented simpler. I send a suggestion in in pdf file.**

*We corrected the text according to the reviewer’s suggestions.*

**3. Page 4. I suggest that authors transfer Fig. 2. (NOESY spektra) into the SI file. Discussion and analysis is enough.**

*The NOESY and HMBC spectra of the discussed compound were transferred into supplementary information file. To make this discussion clear, we have added Fig. 2 in the manuscript to illustrate the key correlations.*

*The supplementary information now contains spectral data for all synthesised acetates.*

**4.  Page 5, Scheme 2, labels for derivative 6 and 7 should be corrected. Labeles 6a, 6b, 6c, 7a, 7b and 7c should be given in full, not in a shorten way. Please, see pdf file I send.**

*We corrected the Scheme 2 according to the reviewer’s suggestions.*

Sincerely,

Dr. Milena Simic