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| **Reviewer Comments** | **Author’s Response** |
| **Reviewer A**   1. Part 3.1: According to the answer to my request related to this section it is obvious that the authors do not understand the first part of the extraction mechanism. So let me explain: Yes, you did not grind the bran, but it came from the mill where it had been ground/treated previously. So in that process, a number of oil containing cells (you call it pockets) were damaged (opened). Then, when you heat the bran whereby lowering the oil viscosity, it is only the oil from this open cells (free oil) that can leak and embed the particles. Therefore, please rewrite this part according to my instructions. Also, since no results were presented in this section, I insist on its removal to the Introduction part.   2.      The first sentence of the abstract was written in Past Tense, so the rest of the abstract should be written in Past Tense as well.  3.      Fig. 1 – do not connect the points (there is no sense of the connection in this case) | **Response to Reviewer A**   1. The 3.1 section has been written again according to the reviewer’s instructions. Further, this part has also been removed from results section and is placed in introduction section.   Page No. 3, Modified text: (This volumetric increase causes the RBO to secret out from the oil containing pockets which were damaged during the milling process of the solid bran.)   1. The first sentence of the abstract has now been written in present tense. Therefore, no need to change the rest of the sentences into past tense. 2. Figure has been modified according to the reviewer comments. |
| **Reviewer C**   1. The authors have taken effort to respond to substantial comments, suggestions and questions. Enclosed is the file with noticed grammar/spelling/writing style corrections. 2. Subtitles and units notifications on the graphs and in tables were not in accordance to the author guide of JSCS. 3. Conclusion needs to be extended (see attached doc.file) | **Response to Reviewer C**   1. All the grammatical, spelling writing style mistakes have been corrected according to the reviewer’s guidelines mentioned in the attached doc. File. 2. Subtitles have been corrected according to the reviewer’s guidelines.   Units on table have been corrected according to the guidelines.  Graphs are perfectly according to the JSCS guidelines because slashes are used only when physical quantities are represented by their abbreviations like T / oC. However, when physical quantities are expressed as text like Temperature then it is separated by commas i.e Temperature, oC. [Please, also see the common mistakes file  given in the JSCS Website]   1. Conclusion has been extended according to the reviewer’s suggestion. |