Dear editor,

We would like to thank you and the reviewers for careful reading of our manuscript. We have given the comments serious consideration and altered the manuscript according to the suggestions. We hope that the revised manuscript will meet your expectations. Below are specific answers to the reviewers.

Yours sincerely,

Vesna Rakić, on behalf of all the authors.

**Reviewer A: minor revision**

1. Why you did not examine the influence of the extraction temperature when it  
   is known that the increase in temperature positively affects the polyphenol  
   solubility in the extraction of the total polyphenol content?

Our answer:

*The most efficient solvent extraction (that one done using the mixture of ethanol (70%), water (29.5%) and formic acid (0.5%)) was done at two temperatures (25°C and 37°C), as already explained in Experimental section (page 4, lines 10 to 14). In order to make the procedure clearer, text is slightly changed.*

1. Additional comment:

*Throughout the entire text, mL was changed to ml.*

**Reviewer B: minor revision**

1. Remarks 1 to 10: *all corrections are done accordingly to reviewer’s requests.*
2. Remark 11: Can authors add explanation for (F(2,6) = 11.20; p = 0.0094).

*The sentence explaining the conditions of statistical analysis is added.*

1. Remark 12: State based on which function you connected measured points?  
   Normalize absorbance to 1 in order to avoid values like 1.6 for Absorbance.

*Figure is replaced by the corrected one. Lines on the graph do not have physical meaning; they are presented to guide the eye. This sentence is added in figure caption.*

1. Remark 13: Table 1: I believe it is not necessary to have exact ratio of mixtures  
   in the title of the table as well as in the column title. Authors should  
   change the title of the Table.

*Title is changed accordingly to the request.*

1. Remarks 14 to 16: *corrections are done accordingly to reviewer’s requests.*