**Response to Reviewer Comments**

Reviewer A:

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

I carefully read one more the work you sent me for a review.  The authors responded again pretty well to the review, but some minor corrections are needed. You can find a word file with corrections enclosed and all comments and corrections on the right side.

It is necessary to replace the Fig. 4 with the table 1S.

Explanation for UV-vis DRS spectra should be in the section Characterization of ZnFe2O4 (not in the Photocatalytic performance of ZnFe2O4) because band gap is characteristic of material.

The authors should change in the text, where it is indicated, the word crystallite into the particle! As you wrote in the manuscript “This might be attributed to the fact that the calculated size was the average value of a single crystallite and the observed particle was the agglomerate of many ZnFe2O4 crystallites, and amorphous parts as well.” When we are talking about specific surface area that means that we are talking about PARTICLE, which is made up of several crystallites. Smaller particle-higher specific surface, and vice versa. As you already know, you can also have smaller cristallites but bigger aggregate i.e. particles.

After these small corrections the manuscript can be accepted.

In my opinion, this manuscript should:

be published after minor revision without additional review

**Response:**

All the authors thank this reviewer for the detailed modification suggestions like English grammar and article layout. We have carefully revised the manuscript according to the reviewer’s suggestion point by point. All the revisions in the resubmitted manuscript have been highlighted by **RED** fonts.