**RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS**

Dear Editor,

Authors would like again to thank Reviewers on very constructive comments.

All corrections in the Manuscript text proposed by Reviewers are marked by track changes option, while in this document are marked by blue colour letters.

Further in the text are responses to all reviewers' comments.

**Reviewer A:**

The overall quality of the manuscript after review is satisfactory. I recomend this to be published. There is one minor mistake in the text that should be corrected (but can be done on the proof step). In the lines 221-222 (tracked changes file) there is a sentence: "The highest contents of  
fat was in sample 0 (0.54 % d.m.), while the lowest was in sample 12 (45.09% d.m.),, table 3 and figures S3a and S3b." The values that are written are not in the correspondence with those in the table 3. Please, make the required correction.

**Auhtor response:**

The requested corrections are made, so the values of mentioned samples are in accordance with values in table 3 and figures S3a and S3b:

The highest content of fat was in sample 0 (2.30 % d.m.), while the lowest was in sample 12 (1.96% d.m.), table 3 and figures S3a and S3b.

Best regards,

Corresponding author:

Prof. Vesna Vučurović, PhD

In Novi Sad, Serbia

10.12.2019.